

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/685,791	10/14/2003	Lori Ogaard	7266	
7590 03/13/2006			EXAMINER	
LORI OGAARD 1404 MONTEZUMA CIR. ST. GEORGE, UT 84790			BUI, LUAN KIM	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
31. GEORGE,	01 84790		3728	
			DATE MAILED: 03/13/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

-1
1'-
1/

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commons	10/685,791	OGAARD ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Luan K. Bui	3728				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timed within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 M	ay 2005.					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/685,791 Page 2

Art Unit: 3728

Specification

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

The following is a quotation of 37 CFR 1.71(a)-(c):

- (a) The specification must include a written description of the invention or discovery and of the manner and process of making and using the same, and is required to be in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art or science to which the invention or discovery appertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same.
- (b) The specification must set forth the precise invention for which a patent is solicited, in such manner as to distinguish it from other inventions and from what is old. It must describe completely a specific embodiment of the process, machine, manufacture, composition of matter or improvement invented, and must explain the mode of operation or principle whenever applicable. The best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention must be set forth.
- (c) In the case of an improvement, the specification must particularly point out the part or parts of the process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter to which the improvement relates, and the description should be confined to the specific improvement and to such parts as necessarily cooperate with it or as may be necessary to a complete understanding or description of it.
- 2. The specification is objected to under 37 CFR 1.71, as the specification, as originally filed, does not provide support for the new matter as now claimed. The specification as filed does not provide support for "the soft rubber material coating attached on the bottom side to come loose from whatever surface it is attached to" as indicated in the amendments to the specification and "having soft rubber material coating on vertical surface of said recess areas" in claim 1 because the specification only discloses "the adhesive material attached on the bottom side ...".
- 3. Claims 1-6 are finally rejected under 35 USC 112, first paragraph, for the reasons set forth in the objection to the specification.

Art Unit: 3728

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

5. Claims 1-6 are finally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In claim 1, lines 3-4, the phrase "width and length" appears a double recitation of line 3. In claim 1, the phrases "having sufficient thickness" and "width and length" are confusion and indefinite because it has no clear meaning. In claim 1, line 6, the phrase "said soft rubber material coating" lacks proper antecedent basis.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 1-6 are finally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Coy (5,062,531) in view of Balmer (6,475,432), Lin (6,511,031) and Eisenbraun et al. (6,637,617; hereinafter Eisenbraun'617). Coy discloses a compact utility holder (10) comprising a holding container (10, 12, 14) having sufficient thickness, width and length having a top (12) with a plurality of recess areas (28-34) having sufficient width and length, a bottom, and first, second, third and fourth sides (Figures 1-3). Coy also discloses the other limitations of the claims except

Application/Control Number: 10/685,791

Art Unit: 3728

for the bottom comprises a soft rubber material coating, the recess areas comprises soft rubber material coating on vertical surface and each of the corner comprises a radius.

Balmer teaches a holder (6) comprising a holding container (10) having a plurality of recess areas (8) and first, second, third and fourth sides with each side having a radius corner (Figure 1). Lin shows a removable cup holder (20) comprising a flat bottom surface (24) and a soft rubber material (50) coated on the bottom surface (Figures 1-3). Eisenbraun'617 suggests a cup holder (10) comprising a recess (14) and a soft rubber material (18) coated on the recess.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art in view of Balmer, Lin and Eisenbraun'617 to modify the holder of Coy so each corner includes a radius as taught by Balmer to prevent sharp corners in the holder and the bottom of the holder and the vertical surface of the recess includes soft rubber material as taught by Lin and Eisenbraun'617 for better support the holder and for better holding drinking glasses, cups, mugs.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to 5/27/2005 have been considered but are deemed to be most in view of the new grounds of rejection.

The claims appear to have merely combined a number of desirable prior art features each doing in the combination that for which it was already well known in the prior art.

Application/Control Number: 10/685,791

Art Unit: 3728

Page 5

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP \ni 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Luan K. Bui whose telephone number is (571) 272-4552. If in receiving this Office Action, it is apparent to Applicant that certain documents are missing from the record for example copies of references cited, form PTO-1449, form PTO-892, etc., requests for copies of such papers should be directed to Ms. Errica Miller at (571) 272-4370.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Customer Service whose telephone number is (703) 306-5648. Facsimile correspondence for this application should be sent to (703) 872-9306 prior July 15, 2005 and (571) 273-8300 after July 15, 2005 for Formal papers and After Final communications.

lkb

March 9, 2006

Luan K. Bui Primary Examiner

Mont